

A Large Brightness Enhancement of the QSO 0957+561 A Component

A. Oscoz¹, D. Alcalde¹, M. Serra-Ricart¹, E. Mediavilla¹, J. A. Muñoz¹

Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, E-38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

aoscoz@ll.iac.es, dalcalde@ll.iac.es, mserra@ot.iac.es, emg@ll.iac.es,
jmunoz@ll.iac.es

ABSTRACT

We report an increase of more than 0.2 mag in the optical brightness of the leading image (A) of the gravitational lens Q0957+561, detected during the 09/2000 – 06/2001 monitoring campaign (2001 observing season). The brightening is similar to or even greater than the largest change ever detected during the 20 years of monitoring of this system. We discuss two different provisional explanations to this event: intrinsic source variability or microlensing (either short timescale microlensing or cessation of the historical microlensing). An exhaustive photometric monitoring of Q0957+561 is needed until summer of 2002 and during 2003 to discriminate between these possibilities.

Subject headings: gravitational lensing—quasars: individual (QSO 0957+561)

1. Introduction

The first gravitational lens system discovered, Q0957+561 (Walsh, Carswell, & Weymann 1979), has become the most observed gravitational mirage. This system has been the target of continuous monitoring in optical and radio wavelengths. The early works by Florentin-Nielsen (1984); Schild & Cholfín (1986); Lehár, Hewitt, & Roberts (1989); Vanderriest et al. (1989); Schild (1990); and Roberts et al. (1991), were followed by other recent monitoring, as those by Beskin & Oknyanskij (1992); Kundic et al. (1995); Oscoz et al. (1996); Kundic et al. (1997); Oscoz et al. (1997); Haarsma et al. (1999); and Serra-Ricart et al. (1999).

Three outstanding events can be noticed during these twenty years of monitoring. Firstly, the existence of a large timescale microlensing (of several years). The analysis made by Pelt et al. (1998) with data corresponding to the period 1979–1996 clearly shows its

presence. Next, a strong 0.13-mag intrinsic brightening of Q0957+561 in two months was detected by Kundic et al. (1995). And finally, Schild (1996) noticed a possible microlensing event with maximum amplitude of 0.05 mag and a timescale of 90 days. The sharp drop detected by Kundic et al. allowed to solve the long-standing problem concerning the “short” (~ 410 days) and “long” (~ 530 days) time delays between the A and B components of the system. The observations confirmed that the short value was the correct one (Oscos et al. 1996; Kundic et al. 1997), constraining the time delay between 410 and 440 days. Moreover, this feature allowed to obtain a first accurate value for the delay (417 ± 3 days, Kundic et al. 1997; 424 ± 3 days, Oscos et al. 1997). This robust estimate lead to search for the existence of possible microlensing events (Gil-Merino et al. 1998; Goicoechea et al. 1998), but no other event of the type reported by Schild (1996) has been detected (see Gil-Merino et al. 2001).

The Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias gravitational lensing group started a long-term monitoring program on this system in 1996, with the 0.82-m IAC80 telescope at the Observatorio del Teide in Tenerife, Spain. Our set of almost 500 individual observations in R band, together with several hundreds of points in V band, constitutes one of the largest photometric database of a gravitational lens system. The application of a new data reduction method (to improve the original aperture photometry), and the development of a new procedure to estimate the time delay, gave a value of $\Delta t_{Q0957} = (425 \pm 4)$ days (Serra-Ricart et al. 1999). The accuracy in the time delay was improved furthermore by including data from other groups in the period 1984–1999 and by using several statistical methods for the calculations. A new value of 422.6 ± 0.6 days was derived (Oscos et al. 2001).

2. The 2000 and 2001 monitoring campaigns

To date, we have only published the data corresponding to the campaigns from 1996 to 1999 (Oscos et al. 2001). A summary of the last two observing campaigns (10/1999 – 06/2000 and 09/2000 – 06/2001) are shown in Table 1. (full data corresponding to all the observing campaigns –dates, brightness and errors of the individual data– can be found at <http://www.iac.es/project/quasar/mserra/meth.html>). Each data point is the result of averaging several individual measurements. The reduction procedure was done by means of the *pho2com* IRAF¹ task (for a complete description of *pho2com*, see Serra-Ricart et al. 1999). Once the final light curves were obtained, the data were checked to eliminate

¹IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

inconsistent measurements: some points are affected by systematic effects, and show strong and *simultaneous* (non time-shifted) variations in both components. These points are the result of bad weather conditions or problems with the CCD and/or the telescope. The number of discarded data points was always small (18 out of 401).

The final light curves of our monitoring campaign ranging from 25.02.1996 to 06.06.2001 in the R and V bands are presented in Figure 1. The apparent magnitudes of the A and B components were derived by comparing the instrumental fluxes with those of two reference stars (D and H, see Serra-Ricart et al. 1999). From the scatter in the comparison star differential light curve we estimate that the photometry is accurate to 2–3 per cent. In Figure 1 a delay of 422.6 days has been applied to the B component, but no magnitude correction has been applied to the data set, both the A and B magnitude are the real ones. It is obvious that the behavior of the light curves shows epochs in which both components fade, followed by epochs in which they brighten, in a quasi-periodic way. This is the general trend observed during the 2000 campaign. However, a conspicuous behavior can be seen in the 2001 campaign, where a brightening of more than 0.2 mag in component A can be observed between day $\sim$ JD2451500 and day $\sim$ JD2452065. This behavior is evident when only the points corresponding to the 2001 campaign are represented. This is shown in the upper panel of Figure 2, where the B component is not delayed, and the data have been averaged into 10-day bins to reduce the noise and to clearly show the trend of both components.

3. Discussion

Every year, when the observing season for Q0957+561 is finished, the obtained data are reduced by the IAC group together with the data from previous campaigns. A possible explanation of the trend appearing in the A component during the 2001 campaign is that the data have been badly obtained and/or reduced, leading to a wrong magnitude estimate. However, a mistake in the reduction procedure would lead to changes in the whole data, not only in the points corresponding to the latest year. Moreover, only the A component points show this variation, while the B data remain almost constant (see the upper panel of Fig. 2). These facts demonstrate that a wrong reduction process or a failure in data acquisition can not be the explanation for this trend in the image A light curve.

Differential photometry between both comparison stars (see Section 2) has been performed in order to check for their stability. No significant variability in the differential light curve is observed, as can be seen in the lower panel of Figure 2. So, the brightening of the A component is certainly not due to any change of the reference stars.

Two different explanations for the monotonous increase in the brightness of the A component of Q0957+561 are proposed: (i) it is intrinsic variability of the source; (ii) it is due to a microlensing event, either a short-time one (months to a few years) or the cessation of the historical microlensing (about twenty years, see Pelt et al. 1998).

3.1. Intrinsic variability of the source

Figure 1 shows that there have been several epochs of remarkable intrinsic variability in the last 5 years. However, these changes are always less than 0.15 mag; for example ~ 0.14 mag between JD 2450300 and JD 2450500 or ~ 0.12 mag between JD 2451100 and JD 2451300. Note that the sharp drop detected by Kundic et al. (1995) had an amplitude of 0.13 mag. So, if the trend found in the 2001 observing campaign is the consequence of intrinsic variability of the source, it would be the largest intrinsic variation ever found, with an optical flux increase of at least 0.2 mag. The large brightening now detected would make it relatively easy to obtain a final confirmation of the time delay between both components of Q0957+561. In addition, and perhaps even more important, it would allow to obtain this delay independently of the method selected, finally solving the controversy of the last few years. Thus, a monitoring of Q0957+561 until 2004 would be crucial to improve our knowledge of both the time delay and the robustness of several statistical methods.

3.2. Microlensing

As stated before, a microlensing event of more than ten years is being produced in Q0957+561. Pelt et al. (1998) made a statistical analysis of the Q0957+561 light curves from the first 17 years (1979–1996) with data from Schild et al. (<http://cfa-www.harvard.edu/~rschild>), Princeton University (Kundic et al. 1997) and the IAC group first observing campaign (Oscocoz et al. 1996). These observational data led them to obtain a time delay of 416.3 ± 1.7 days, and then to calculate the differential light curve between both components of Q0957+561 (taking into account this value for the time delay). Pelt et al. (1998) finally concluded the existence of a first variation of 0.25 mag in about 6 years, followed by a quiet phase of about 8 years without variability over 0.05 mag. The historical differential light curve is presented in Figure 3, where only data from Schild et al. and the first four campaigns of the IAC group campaigns have been used. A time delay of 422.6 days has been applied to B component data, and only the annual averages are presented. The analysis made by Pelt et al. (1998) shows that objects with mass of $< 10^{-5} M_{\odot}$ can explain the 0.25-mag event. They also stated that the existence of objects with a mass as high as $1 M_{\odot}$ was possible, although they

are quite unlikely. A remarkable fact since the beginning of this event is that component B remains brighter than component A. However, the differential light curve does not clearly lead to the interpretation of long term microlensing. The shape of this curve does not match the one expected for a microlensing event, and it is difficult to explain the dip between day 5000 and day 6000. A point favouring the historical microlensing interpretation is that component A is brighter than B in the line emission (Angonin-Willaime & Vanderriest 1995), which is supposed to be not affected by microlensing.

In any case, a point against the explanation of the observed variability in the 2001 campaign as the end of the historical microlensing is the fact that this large timescale microlensing took six years (~ 1983 -1988) to vary 0.25 mag, while about the same variation has been measured now in only a year.

Another interesting explanation to this large change in brightness is that it can be produced by a short timescale (from several months to a few years) microlensing event. Until now, no short timescale microlensing event has been completely confirmed in Q0957+561, although some observing campaigns with several participating observatories have been carried out (Colley et al. 2002). Even the possible microlensing event reported by Schild (1996) is not entirely convincing. This author, with his own data and a time delay of 404 days, found amplitude peaks of 0.05 mag and 90 days long in the microlensing curves. This phenomenon was interpreted as short timescale microlensing due to objects with $10^{-5}M_{\odot}$ mass.

Refsdal et al. (2000) employed the microlensing light curve by Pelt et al. (1998) to restrict the microlens mass. These authors concluded that the lens mass could be restricted to values in the interval $10^{-6}M_{\odot}$ - $5M_{\odot}$. Another analysis was performed by Schmidt & Wambsganss (1998) with data in the g band by Kundic et al. (1997, two observing campaigns: 1994, December to 1995, May and 1995, November to 1996, July) and a time delay of 417 days. No variation larger than 0.05 mag was found in the differential light curve. Two conclusions were derived: (i) MACHOs with masses in the interval $10^{-5}M_{\odot}$ - $10^{-3}M_{\odot}$ can be excluded for a quasar with a radius less than $10^{-4}h_{60}^{-1/2}$ pc; and (ii) there were no evidence of short timescale events. Lately, Wambsganss et al. (2000) added to the previous light curves the data obtained until 1998 in the same band and with the same telescope, detecting again no microlensing with amplitude larger than 0.05 mag. They could extend the previous limits, excluding an halo only made by MACHOS with masses between $10^{-6}M_{\odot}$ and $10^{-2}M_{\odot}$ for a quasar with radius less than $10^{-4}h_{60}^{-1/2}$ pc.

Finally, Gil-Merino et al. (2001) performed an exhaustive analysis of the microlensing signal obtained with the IAC 1996, 1997, and 1998 observing campaigns in the R band. They selected a delay of $\Delta t_{Q0957} = 425 \pm 4$ days (Serra-Ricart et al. 1999). Gil-Merino et al. concluded that: (i) no 3 months duration and 0.05 mag amplitude events are found in

the microlensing light curves, so these events do not occur in a continuous way; (ii) from a conservative point of view, the amplitude of any microlensing signal must be in the interval $[-0.05 \text{ mag}, +0.05 \text{ mag}]$ (the same limit found by Pelt et al. 1998 and Wambsganss et al. 2000); and (iii) the small variability observed in the differential light curves could be originated, in a natural way, by observational noise mechanisms.

4. Final remarks

We present in this letter a large, $|\Delta m| \geq 0.2\text{-mag}$, brightening of component A of the gravitational lens system Q0957+561. The event occurs between day $\sim \text{JD}2451500$ and day $\sim \text{JD}2452065$, our last observing date, so its amplitude could be even larger. Two different alternatives are offered to explain this variation: intrinsic variability or microlensing.

The historical light curve of Q0957+561 presents several large variations in amplitude. Some of them are fast, as the 0.13-mag sharp drop detected by Kundic et al. (1995), whereas others, larger in magnitude (but always below 0.15 mag), are relatively slow (see Figure 1). However, the detected 2001 variability in component A, if intrinsic, would be the largest one ever reported in this quasar, allowing so to obtain a confirmation of the time delay independently of the method employed.

Alternatively, the observed brightening could be due to a microlensing event. As a possible explanation, it could correspond to short timescale microlensing (months to years). Microlensing events of this type have been detected in several gravitational lenses, specially in Q2237+0305, where they are almost routinely detected (a noticeable 0.15-mag microlensing in component A of Q2237+0305 has been recently reported; Wozniak et al. 2000; Alcalde et al. 2002). On the contrary, in Q0957+561 it would be the first secure event of this type detected (see Schild 1996; Gil-Merino et al. 2001). Another possibility is that it could indicate the end of the historical microlensing which started in 1983 (Pelt et al. 1996). However, the variation seems too fast to correspond to the cessation of such a microlensing event.

In any case, the definitive answer will only come after the observation of component B during 2002. So, an exhaustive monitoring of Q0957+561 from several observing groups is necessary from now until summer 2002 to study the behavior of component B (if the variability is intrinsic, the same behavior will appear in B component since $\sim \text{JD}2452000$, April 2001, until $\sim \text{JD}2452600$, August 2002), and at least during 2003 to cover all the event.

REFERENCES

- Alcalde, D., et al. 2002, ApJ, in press
- Angonin-Willaime, M.-C. & Vanderriest, C. 1995, ASP Conf. Ser. 71: IAU Colloq. 149: Tridimensional Optical Spectroscopic Methods in Astrophysics, 225
- Beskin, G. M., & Oknyanskij, V. L. 1992, in Lectures Notes in Physics 406, Gravitational Lenses, ed R. Kayzer, T. Schramm, & S. Refsdal, Springer-Verlag (Heidelberg), 67
- Colley, W. N. et al. 2002, ApJ, 565, 105
- Florentin-Nielsen, R. 1984, A&A, 138, 119
- Gil-Merino, R., Goicoechea, L. J., Serra-Ricart, M., Oscoz, A., Alcalde, D., & Mediavilla, E. 2001, MNRAS, 322, 397
- Gil-Merino, R., Goicoechea, L. J., Serra-Ricart, M., Oscoz, A., Mediavilla, E., & Buitrago, J. 1998, Ap&SS, 263, 47
- Goicoechea, L. J., Oscoz, A., Mediavilla, E., Buitrago, J., & Serra-Ricart, M. 1998, ApJ, 492, 74
- Haarsma, D. B., Hewitt, J. N., Lehár, J., & Burke, B. F. 1999, ApJ, 510, 64
- Kundic, T., et al. 1997, ApJ, 482, 75
- Kundic, T., et al. 1995, ApJ, 455, L5
- Lehár, J., Hewitt, J. N., & Roberts, D. H. 1989, in Gravitational Lenses, ed J. M. Moran, J. N. Hewitt, & K. Y. Lo, Reidel (Dordrecht), 84
- Oscoz, A., et al. 2001, ApJ, 552, 81
- Oscoz, A., Mediavilla, E., Goicoechea, L. J., Serra-Ricart, M., & Buitrago, J. 1997, ApJ, 479, L89
- Oscoz, A., Serra-Ricart, M., Goicoechea, L. J., Mediavilla, E., & Buitrago, J. 1996, ApJ, 470, L19
- Pelt, J., Schild, R., Refsdal, S., & Stabell, R. 1998, A&A, 336, 829
- Refsdal, S., Stabell, R., Pelt, J., & Schild, R. 2000, A&A, 360, 10
- Roberts, D. H., Lehár, J., Hewitt, J. N., & Burke, B. F. 1991, Nature, 352, 43

- Schild, R. 1990, *AJ*, 100, 1771
- Schild, R. 1996, *ApJ*, 464, 125
- Schild, R. E., & Cholfin, B. 1986, *ApJ*, 300, 209
- Schmidt, R., & Wambsganss, J. 1998, *A&A*, 335, 379
- Serra-Ricart, M., et al. 1999, *ApJ*, 526, 40
- Vanderriest, C., Schneider, J., Herpe, G., Chevreton, M., Moles, M., & Wlérick, F. 1989, *A&A*, 215, 1
- Walsh, D., Carswell, R. F., & Weymann, R. J. 1979, *Nature*, 279, 381
- Wambsganss, J., Schmidt, R. W., Colley, W., Kundic, T., & Turner, E. L. 2000, *A&A*, 362, L37
- Wozniak P. R., Udalski, A., Szymanski, M., Kubiak, M., Pietrzynski, G., Soszynski, I., & Zebrun, K. 2000, *ApJ*, 540, L65

Table 1. Summary of the 2000 and 2001 observing campaigns of Q0957+561. See <http://www.iac.es/project/quasar/mserra/meth.html> for more details on all the observations.

Campaign	Filter	Number of points	Number of nights	Points removed
10/1999-06/2000	<i>R</i>	138	74	8
	<i>V</i>	88	68	3
09/2000-06/2001	<i>R</i>	92	76	5
	<i>V</i>	83	77	2

Fig. 1.— Upper panel: R band real magnitude light curves of the A (filled circles) and B (open squares) data from the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias monitoring of Q0957+561. The B data have been delayed by 422.6 days, but no magnitude shift has been applied between A and B components. The “real” years (mid date of each observing campaign) appear in the top x-axis. Second panel: R band data averaged into 10-day bins in order to reduce the scatter. Third panel: same as in the upper panel but in V band. Lower panel: V band data averaged into 10-day bins.

Fig. 2.— Upper panel: The 2000 and 2001 campaigns of Q0957+561 in the R band averaged into 10-day bins. A (filled circles) and B (not shifted in time, open squares). Note the almost monotonous brightening of the A component between days 2500 and 3000. Lower panel: Difference light curve of the two comparison stars (H and D) to check their stability.

Fig. 3.— Differential light curve Q0957+561A (t) - Q0957+561B ($t-\Delta t$) of Q0957+561 from Schild’s and IAC’s data. B component data is delayed by 422.6 days. The data corresponding to each year have been averaged.





